

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,680	11/07/2005	Klaus Russke	BU-07PCT	2233
	40570 7590 10/24/2007 FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER		EXAMINER	
	N AVENUE, SUITE 910		PEDDER, DENNIS H	
NEW YORK, I	NY 10017		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/531,680	RUSSKE, KLAUS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are pending in the applie	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-12</u> is/are rejected.						
- (8 ⋅	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
0)[	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2005</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen		<u>.</u>					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/531,680 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the computer program (logic steps schematically shown) of claim 12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/531,680

Art Unit: 3612

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by France-Design, FR 2694245, cited by applicant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antreich in view of France-Design.

Antreich has rigid rear 5, rear window shown, front roof section with rigid parts 3,4, with 4 behind, and with 3 movable over 4.

The lowered roof parts of Antreich are substantially horizontal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Antreich nearly vertical storage of overlapped front roof parts 9, 10 as taught by France-Design in figure 5 with the roof parts located below a beltline of the vehicle in order to retain maximum trunk storage space with the top collapsed.

As to claim 4, see four bar linkage at 17,18 with roof section 3 forming one bar.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,680

Art Unit: 3612

As to claim 6, see figure 6 of Antreich.

As to claim 7, see figures 12, 13 and accompanying discussion.

As to claim 8, see figures 6 and 13.

As to claim 10, see four bar linkage 14,15, substantially mutually parallel as is that of applicant.

As to claim 5, Antreich and France-Design both have independently movable front roof sections.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antreich in view of France-Design as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Weissrich et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Antreich, as modified by France-Design, computer control of roof position as is common knowledge in the art as taught by Weissrich et al. in order to fully control roof movement.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the date of the Antreich reference, the relevant date under U.S. Patent law is the date of filing, 7/15/2002, prior to the priority date of applicant.

Art Unit: 3612

Discussion of structural distinctions is not relevant unless reflected in the claim language. Further, see the rear roof part of France-Design, with both sliding of the front sections and limited (non-opening) of the rear in figure 4.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

10/2407

Art Unit 3612

DHP 10/22/2007